## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

|                                  | 1 -   | I                          |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| In Re ENRON CORPORATION          | §   |                            |
| SECURITIES, DERIVATIVE & "ERISA" | §   |                            |
| LITIGATION                       | 8   |                            |
| EIIIGIIII                        | §<br>§  |                            |
|                                  |   |                            |
| MADIZ MEWDY ET AL                | §   |                            |
| MARK NEWBY, ET AL.,              | 8   |                            |
|                                  | 8   |                            |
| Plaintiffs,                      | §   |                            |
| V.                               | § §   | CIVIL ACTION NO. H-01-3624 |
|                                  | §   |                            |
| ENRON CORPORATION, ET AL.,       | §   |                            |
| , ,                              | 8   |                            |
| Defendants.                      | \$                       |                            |
| Dorondants.                      | 8   |                            |
|                                  |   |                            |
|                                  | §   |                            |
| This document relates to:        | §   |                            |
|                                  | §   |                            |
| AL RAJHI INVESTMENT              | §   |                            |
| CORPORATION BV                   | §   |                            |
|                                  | 8   |                            |
| Plaintiff                        | 8   |                            |
|                                  | 8   |                            |
| V.                               | 8   |                            |
| <b>v</b> .                       | 8   | CIVIL ACTIONING II 02 1210 |
| ADMILID ANDEDGENIA A             | 8   | CIVIL ACTION NO. H-03-1219 |
| ARTHUR ANDERSEN L.L.P.,          | <b>§</b>  |                            |
|                                  | §   |                            |
| Defendant and Third-Party        | §   |                            |
| Plaintiff                        | §   |                            |
|                                  | 8   |                            |
| V.                               | <i>\$</i> |                            |
|                                  | 8   |                            |
| ANDREW FASTOW, et al.,           | 8   |                            |
| INDICE WITADIO W, El Ul.,        | 8   |                            |
|                                  | 8   |                            |
| Third-Party Defendants           | §   |                            |

| This document relates to:   | §                                     |                            |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|
|                             | §                                     |                            |
| ARIC, BV,                   | §                                     |                            |
|                             | §                                     |                            |
| Plaintiff,                  | \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ | CIVIL ACTION NO. H-03-3947 |
|                             | 8                                     |                            |
| V.                          | §                                     | COORDINATED CASE           |
|                             | §                                     |                            |
| THE MAN GROUP, PLC, et al., | \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ |                            |
|                             |                                       |                            |
| Defendants.                 |                                       |                            |

## **ORDER**

BE IT REMEMBERED that on this day the Court considered Al Rajhi Investment Corporation, BV's and Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to Discovery, and after reviewing the Motion, the Court is of the opinion that the Motion is meritorious and should be granted. It is, therefore,

ORDERED and DECREED that Plaintiff Al Rajhi Investment Corporation, B.V. shall have an extension of time of an additional 90 days to object or otherwise respond to any and all outstanding discovery issued by Defendant Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, and shall only have to respond to such discovery in the event the Stipulation of Dismissal is not entered and these proceedings dismissed.

SIGNED this the day of November, 2005.

MELINDA HARMON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE